

Role of imaging modalities for diagnosis of pancreatic neoplasia in early stages

Abdolmajid Taheri¹, Ayoob Rostamzadeh², Daryoush Fatehi^{3*}

¹Department of Radiology, Faculty of Medicine, Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences, Shahrekord, Iran.

²Department of Anatomy and Neuroscience, Faculty of Medicine, Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences, Shahrekord, Iran.

³Department of Medical Physics, Faculty of Medicine, Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences, Shahrekord, Iran.

*Address to corresponding author: Daryoush Fatehi, Department of Medical Physics, Faculty of Medicine, Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences, Shahrekord, Iran. Email: d.fatehi@gmail.com.

ABSTRACT

Despite important struggles to develop the prognosis linked with this illness, evolution in the direction of the therapy of pancreatic neoplasia in previous years has been confined and nearly every patient diagnosed with pancreatic neoplasia show metastases and expire. A vital component of finding is signified by non-invasive imaging. Imaging techniques are in active expansion in the laboratory and the clinic, when the beginning of original methods eventually result in the treatment for pancreatic neoplasia is a vital importance. It was found that structural imaging methods such as MDCT and MR were outstanding modalities for screening and classification of pancreatic injuries. These methods provide superior info about local tumor attack and surgical removability; while, ultrasound and MRCP offers a noninvasive and exact method for exposure of early pancreatic neoplasia, unsuspected metastases, differentiation among benign and malignant pancreatic lesions.

Keywords: Imaging, pancreatic neoplasia, MDCT, MR, MRCP

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, pancreatic neoplasia is still one of the most overwhelming human neoplasia, accountable for 30,000 mortalities per year in the US that its diagnosis is hardly made at early stages. The deficiency of explicit symptoms until late in the pathology, plus great proliferative and metastatic possibility of the illness hinder initial finding and consequently, effective treatment. As a result, disease prognosis is poor, with a 5-year survival amount of only around 4%. The only potentially curative healing remains surgical resection. At presentation time, about one fifth of the patients have removable disease. Yet, despite the probability for radical intervention, because of the violent nature of the disease, only one fifth of these patients live up to 5 years. Extra chemotherapy and radiation healing only processes marginal palliative materials due to the low chemosensitivity of the disease with response rates to conventional agents of less than 10%. Despite considerable efforts to modify the prediction linked with this condition, advancement in the direction of the treatment of pancreatic neoplasia in the previous decades has been imperfect and nearly all of the patients diagnosed with pancreatic neoplasia will expand metastases and die. This outlook, yet, can be amended dramatically by the accessibility of early diagnostics. A necessary element of diagnosis is represented by non-invasive imaging. Imaging methods are in active development both in the laboratory and the clinic, since the conception of novel approximate safety. Mostly leading to therapy for pancreatic neoplasia is an immediate priority.

Imaging of pancreatic neoplasia: Presently pancreatic neoplasia imaging in the clinic relies on no targeted morphologically-based modalities. Ultrasonographic techniques, such as abdominal ultrasonography, endoscopic ultrasonography, helical CT and MRI have progressed as the major tools for pancreatic neoplasia discovery and staging. More lately, these methods have been complemented by the biochemically-based discovery procedure of FDG-PET.

Ultrasonography (US): Ultrasonography is the most frequent used clinical imaging alternative because of its low cost, availability, and its safety. Ultrasound images are acquired when high-frequency (>20-kHz) sound waves are discharged from a transducer placed on the skin and the ultrasound is replicated back from the internal organs under examination. Contrast in the images acquired depends on the imaging algorithm used, backscatter, attenuation of the sound, and sound speed. Some of the problems of ultrasound imaging, yet, extend from the being of bone and air artifacts, because of the orientation of air and bone to not transmit sound waves. Consequently, ultrasound is characterized by limited depth penetration. Abdominal ultrasonography represents a good initial screening tool. Yet, US has a fairly low sensitivity and specificity of 67% and 40%, respectively for pancreatic neoplasia. Furthermore, US is operator-dependent and affected by local artifacts. Yet, US is proper for screening of neoplasia over 2 cm in diameter, dilated biliary with pancreatic ducts, and extra pancreatic spread. Endoscopic ultrasonography is a delicate and reliable modality for the discovery, staging, and surgical evaluation of pancreatic neoplasia. It uses a high-frequency sonographic transducer that is presented into the gastrointestinal tract by a side-viewing endoscope. This setup permissions the operator to achieve real-time cross-sectional images of the gastrointestinal wall and soft-tissue. Endoscopic ultrasound overcomes some of the drawbacks of abdominal ultrasonography based on the endoscopic

positioning of the ultrasound probe adjacent to the pancreas. This allows the detailed assessment of local anatomy and permits the identification of small lesions normally not detected by abdominal ultrasound. The reported sensitivity, specificity, and precision of endoscopic ultrasonography are considerably higher than conventional CT, with a precision of 85-100%, compared to 64-66% for CT and 61-64% for abdominal ultrasound. The advantage of endoscopic ultrasonography upon CT is particularly evident for lesions smaller than 3 cm in size. In addition, endoscopic ultrasound is a good staging tool for pancreatic neoplasia. Commonly, staging is based on a TNM classification. T stage mentions to tumor characteristics containing vascular involvement. N stage reflects regional lymph node involvement, and M stage assesses metastatic spread. Endoscopic ultrasound is reliable for the identification of certain types of vascular lesions of the portal and splenic veins. Yet, despite its sensitivity in terms of T and N staging, endoscopic ultrasound is not suitable for assessing M stage due to its limited tissue penetration.

Computerized tomography (CT): CT is the most frequent used alternative for the primary screening, staging, and evaluation of reply to management of pancreatic neoplasia. The reported precision of CT in determining that a tumor is unremovable approaches 100%. Yet, about a third of the cases considered removable based on CT, in fact are unremovable. Signal in CT results from differential absorption of X-rays by component tissues and media, namely, bone, air, fat, and water. Volumetric data are collected as an X-ray origin and a finder rotate around the subject. A number of factors influencing the level of resolution of this imaging condition contain the pixel sampling size, the size of the X-ray origin, and blurring in the phosphor screen which constitutes an element in the signal detector system. A chief downside of CT is the poor soft tissue contrast, which requires the supervision of iodinated contrast agents which pass through dissimilar tissues at different rates. CT has a relatively high spatial resolution and is characterized by fast acquisition times. CT is a commonly applied clinical imaging manners and is traditionally used as a neoplasia diagnostic tool. More newly modifications of the CT procedure have shown upgraded sensitivity and diagnostic accuracy. Helical CT processes thin-section, motion-free images. It allows imaging of the entire pancreas and tissues close to it in a number of circulatory phases. The different phases are defined by variability in scan delay. The pancreatic phase (scan delay of 40 s) has an enhanced capacity to differentiate among pancreatic parenchyma and blood vessels, compared to portal-vein phase imaging (scan delay 60-70 s). On the other hand, imaging during the portal-vein phase is best for imaging of liver metastases. Therefore, the assessment of tumor stage and metastasis is isolated from a "dual-phase" technique. Yet, circulation times vary among patients, which are a foundation of error in the use of this application. The reported precision of helical CT for T staging is 77%, for N staging 58%, and for M staging 79%. Thin section helical CT reduces the obscuring impact of volume averaging on the discovery of small lesions. Typically slices ranging between 3 and 5 mm in thickness are obtained using this procedure. Generally, helical CT can expose the neoplasia and its location with regard to neighboring structures, such as the superior mesenteric artery and vein, the portal vein, and the coeliac axis. The assessment of removability is thus reliant on the capacity of CT to determine whether the tumor is attacking the superior mesenteric artery and the coeliac axis, like to discover liver and distant metastases, which is an indicator of unremovability. The latest advance in CT imaging of the pancreas combines volume rendering of CT data with a three-dimensional display and is referred to as multidetector CT (MDCT). The advantages of this technique lie in the ability of the operator to optimize the visualization of structures, which allows key elements of the anatomy to be enhanced. Still, the accuracy and reliability of this procedure remain to be determined. Furthermore, its demand for extensive computer memory and relative expense make it less popular.

Endoscopic retrograde cholangio pancreatography (ERCP): ERCP with stent placement is a relatively invasive procedure which identifies visual symptoms of biliary and pancreatic duct stenosis. It is suggested for patients who present with symptoms of obstructive jaundice, i.e., renal failure or cholangitis, and is a way of alleviating biliary obstruction. ERCP has several drawbacks as a diagnostic tool. Due to the indirect determination of parenchymal abnormalities, a normal pancreatogram does not exclude the probably for the attendance of a tumor. Chronic pancreatitis and pancreatic neoplasia cannot be differentiated predictably. Lesions in certain areas of the pancreas are less likely to be detected by this method. Improvements in ERCP utilize the capacity of the procedure to attain tissue specimens from the location of interest. ERCP with secretin stimulation and brush biopsy was used to collect matter for further analysis. ERCP is a widely accessible imaging condition and this manner may be preferable to surgery in some cases due to lower overall resource utilization and shorter hospitalization. The role of ERCP in biliary drainage prior to surgery for potentially removable pancreatic neoplasias is presently debated and should be individualized based on specific clinical situation. Yet, the vast majority of patients with pancreas neoplasia has an unremovable or borderline removable tumor requiring chemotherapy \pm radiation and would benefit from an ERCP for biliary drainage. Acute Pancreatitis is a side effect encountered after ERCP in 5-7% of the patients. Gastrointestinal bleeding, perforation, infection and sore throat are other less frequent complications of ERCP.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI): The principle behind MRI is created upon the tendency of unpaired nuclear spins (dipoles), e.g. hydrogen atoms in water and organic molecules, to align them along an externally applied magnetic field. This external field is created by a strong magnet surrounding the subject. Following the magnetic

pulse delivered by the magnet, the dipoles return to their baseline orientation. That event is detected as a alteration in electromagnetic flux and is characterized by a differential rate of magnetic relaxation depending on the local environment. For instance, fat and hydrocarbon-rich environments have short relaxation times, whereas aqueous environments have relatively long relaxation times. The measurement of dipole relaxation is translated into an MR signal with contrast provided by the differential nature of relaxation rate. The most generally used timing parameters are known as T1 and T2 and reflect the differential relaxation of the dipoles in the longitudinal and transverse directions, respectively. Nevertheless, the size to derive both anatomical and molecular/physiologic data simultaneously over MRI make it one of the most promising imaging modalities. Its application in the clinic is expanding despite its relatively high cost. Furthermore, as a research tool, MRI has been handled to image specific molecular interactions by the use of chemical agents capable of altering MR signal intensity. Paramagnetic metal projectiles, such as gadolinium or superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs) have been used as targeted MRI probes. Still, the low sensitivity of MRI makes it necessary to deliver very high concentrations of probe at the target site to achieve sufficient contrast for reliable imaging. Nevertheless, magnetic resonance imaging has been used for multiple applications included but not limited to cell trafficking, and imaging of gene expression. Originally, conventional MRI had a limited diagnostic value for pancreatic neoplasia due to motion artifacts (respiratory, vascular, and peristaltic). Recently, yet, the use of more advanced MRI techniques, for example dynamic contrast enhanced MRI, has led to considerable sensitivity levels, surpassing even those reported for dual-phase helical CT. A comparative study found that MRI had a precision of 96% for predicting removability vs. 81% for helical CT. Compared to endoscopic ultrasound; MRI was established to have a positive predictive price of 77%. In determining removability, the negative predictive value (defining un-removability) was 76%. Furthermore, MR imaging is reported to have higher sensitivity for small liver metastases compared to CT. Additional good nesses of MRI over CT derive from the fact that it offers better soft-tissue contrast, prior to the supervision of iodinated contrast agents and that images can be acquired in multiple planes. Yet, CT reportedly provides higher spatial resolution. The imaging protocols for finding of pancreatic malignancy involve both T1 and T2-weighted sequences, and dynamically-enhanced T1-weighted sequences. On T1-weighted images, the normal pancreas has higher signal intensity than any other abdominal organ. Its short T1 relaxation time has been ascribed to the abundant protein and rough endoplasmic reticulum included within it. Fat-saturated T1-weighted sequences are useful for distinguishing normal from abnormal pancreatic parenchyma. Also, T1-weighted sequences have been shown to be more efficient at reducing motion artifacts than T2-weighted sequences. T2-weighted sequences are typically used to differentiate among benign and malignant liver lesions. Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI estimates blood flow using a computational algorithm. Its ability to recognize pancreatic malignancy rests on the fact that pancreatic neoplasia are hypovascular relative to normal pancreas. With its high sensitivity and tissue contrast, specifically in applications involving contrast agents, e.g. gadolinium as a T1 contrast agent, and its skill to simultaneously provide anatomical and functional information, also by the diversity of its applications, i.e. pancreatography by means of MRCP and angiography by means of dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI, the magnetic resonance imaging manner is likely to replace other imaging tools, such as helical CT for instance, as the technique of choice in the diagnosis of pancreatic neoplasia.

Magnetic resonance cholangio pancreatography (MRCP): MRCP is a noninvasive procedure, which is replacing ERCP for diagnosis of the biliary and pancreatic ducts. It is based on magnetic resonance imaging and utilizes T2 weighted imaging with long echo times to deliver optimal contrast between the hyperintense signal of pancreatic juice and bile and the hypointense signal produced by blood and solid organs. The sensitivity of MRCP for diagnosis of pancreatic and biliary duct abnormalities is 93-100%. As a result, MRCP is appropriate for the assessment of obstructive jaundice. Still, its limited diagnostic potential necessitates the complementary use of unusual imaging modalities. MRCP is better than CT for describing the anatomy of the biliary tree and pancreatic duct, has the ability to estimate the bile ducts both above and below a stricture, and can also recognize intrahepatic mass lesions. It is reportedly as sensitive as ERCP in discovering pancreatic neoplasias and unlike conventional ERCP, don't needs contrast matter to be managed into the ductal system. Thus, the morbidity related with endoscopic procedures and contrast management is avoided. Although MRCP has not yet totally replaced ERCP in patients with suspected pancreatic neoplasia in all centers, it is regularly used in patients with high grade stenosis of the gastric outlet or proximal duodenum or in those with certain post-surgical anatomy (e.g. biliary bypass), which make the biliary ductal system difficult to access by ERCP. Chronic pancreatitis can be hard to discriminate from pancreatic adenocarcinoma on MRI as both show low signal intensity on T1-weighted images and both may be related to pancreatic and/or biliary ductal obstruction. Dynamic gadolinium-enhanced MRI cannot differentiate chronic pancreatitis and pancreas neoplasia on the basis of degree and time of enhancement. MRCP images may be more helpful in distinguishing among chronic pancreatitis and pancreatic adenocarcinoma especially if the duct-penetrating sign signifying a non-obstructed main pancreatic duct is present.

2. CONCLUSION

There have newly been notable recovery in pancreatic imaging using the multi-modality tactic, although each imaging condition has its own role, advantages, and disadvantages, not only for diagnosis, but also for the treatment and follow-up of pancreatic neoplasia. While the average survival time of patients resected for pancreas neoplasia is a high chance of relapse due to the highly adverse and violent nature of the evolving disease, the primary healing offering the greatest potential for cure is the complete, curative, surgical resectioning of the primary carcinoma. Though MRI is precise in local staging of the pancreatic malignancies owing to high soft tissue contrast resolution (for assessment of peripancreatic fat infiltration), for evaluation of vascular encasement, peritoneal deposits and lymph nodal involvement, it has limitations as related to CT. Thus, we conclude that structural imaging methods such as MDCT and MR are excellent modalities for both discovery and characterization of pancreatic lesions. In conclusion, these methods offer superior data about local tumor attack and surgical removability, whereas ultrasound and MRCP provides a noninvasive and accurate technique for screening of early pancreatic neoplasia, unsuspected metastases, differentiation among benign and malignant pancreatic lesions (such as inflammatory and residual tumor).

REFERENCES

Ahmadi A, Zandi F, Gharib A, Menbari N, Hosseini J, Abdi M, Jalili A & Nazir Menbari M, Relationship between polymorphism in promoter region of E-Cadherin (Cdh1) gene and helicobacter pylori infection in Kurdish population of Iran. *Life Science Journal*, 10, 2013, 552-556.

Canto MI, Goggins M, Hruban RH, Petersen GM, Giardiello FM, Yeo C, Fishman EK, Brune K, Axilbund J, Griffin C, Screening for early pancreatic neoplasia in high-risk individuals: a prospective controlled study. *Clinical gastroenterology and hepatology*, 4, 2006, 766-781.

De Labarthe A, Rousselot P, Huguet-Rigal F, Delabesse E, Witz F, Maury S, Réa D, Cayuela JM, Vekeman MC, Reman O, Imatinib combined with induction or consolidation chemotherapy in patients with de novo Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia: results of the GRAAPH-2003 study. *Blood*, 109, 2007, 1408-1413.

Fatehi D, Naleini F, Gharib Salehi M, Al E, Evaluation of multiple sclerosis patients through structural biomarkers of diffusion tensor magnetic imaging and correlation with clinical features. *Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 9, 2016, 830-837.

Fatehi D, Naleini F, Gharib Salehi M & Al E, Role of magnetic resonance imaging and cross sectional images in Neuroanatomy Course Syllabus. *Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 9, 2016, 877.

Frank R & Hargreaves R, Clinical biomarkers in drug discovery and development. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery*, 2, 2003, 566-580.

Freeny PC, Traverso LW & Ryan JA, Diagnosis and staging of pancreatic adenocarcinoma with dynamic computed tomography. *The American journal of surgery*, 165, 1993, 600-606.

Ichikawa T, Erturk SM, Motosugi U, Sou H, Iino H, Araki T & Fujii H, High-b value diffusion-weighted MRI for detecting pancreatic adenocarcinoma: preliminary results. *American Journal of Roentgenology*, 188, 2007, 409-414.